

During the ongoing global pandemic, Novel Coronavirus COVID-19, the Town of Pelham Committee of Adjustment will convene meetings in compliance with Provincial directives. Attendance by all participants will be electronic. Public access to meetings will be provided via Livestream [www.youtube.com/townofpelham/live](http://www.youtube.com/townofpelham/live) and subsequent publication to the Town's website at [www.pelham.ca](http://www.pelham.ca).

Pages

## 5. Applications for Minor Variance

### 5.2. A15/2022P - 1053 Pelham Street (Part 3)

#### 5.2.1. *Additional Public Input*

1

5. Tammy and Craig Schmidt

6. Patricia Mazereeuw

7. Cindy Johnson

### 5.3. A16/2022P - 1053 Pelham Street (Part 2)

#### 5.3.1. *Additional Public Comments*

4

5. Tammy and Craig Schmidt

6. Patricia Mazereeuw

7. Cindy Johnson

## 6. Applications for Consent

### 6.1. B11/2022P - 1053 Pelham Street (Part 3)

**6.1.1. Additional Public Input**

7

Written Correspondence

8. Tammy and Craig Schmidt

9. Patricia Mazereeuw

10. Cindy Johnson

Pre-registered Members of the Public

2. Patricia Mazereeuw

**6.2. B12/2022P - 1053 Pelham Street (Part 2)**

**6.2.1. Additional Public Input**

10

Written Correspondence

8. Tammy and Craig Schmidt

9. Patricia Mazereeuw

10. Cindy Johnson

Pre-registered Members of the Public

2. Patricia Mazereeuw

October 31, 2022

Tammy and Craig Schmidt  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Willford,

RE: File Number: A15/2022P Lot 177, 1053 Pelham Street, Pelham

Our property is [REDACTED] of three lots built by [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. We would like to submit our concerns and opposition to the  
proposed plan to build TWO new homes on Lot 177 facing Pelham Street.

Water drainage, density, safety and architectural guidelines/modernization are the  
basis of our concerns and opposition.

[REDACTED] are graded to drain water to the rear of our  
property lines, to a common swale, which drains into a grate located on Part 3 of  
[REDACTED]. Proper water drainage is of concern with the new proposed  
development. How will these properties be graded and will water drainage become a  
concern for our properties?

The lot/area is already dense, with several homes backing our properties. A maximum  
of ONE new home build, as opposed to two, should be permitted. The current bylaw  
for minimum lot area and lot frontage should be adhered to.

Safety, with respect to traffic, fire access and emergency services should be considered.

In keeping with architectural guidelines and modernization, the new residential  
development should keep with the single-family detached brick bungalow, as opposed  
to a two story or townhouse, to maintain our property value.

Sincerely yours,

Tammy and Craig Schmidt

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

**From:** Patricia Mazereeuw [REDACTED]

**Date:** October 31, 2022 at 6:25:26 PM EDT

**To:** Holly Willford <[HWillford@pelham.ca](mailto:HWillford@pelham.ca)>

**Subject:** Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

I live at [REDACTED]. Provided are a list of concerns regarding the proposed changes to the lot on the corner of Homestead and Pelham Rd:

1) Safety concerns regarding the addition of one new driveway on Homestead Blv.

The proposed driveway is too close to Pelham Rd which is a main road. Cars speed through the light on Pelham and Welland Rd going north and I already have to quickly turn on to Homestead as drivers drive too fast and too close to cars in front of them in an effort to make it through the lights at Circle K. I have already had a few close calls of cars hitting me from behind because drivers are not expecting me to break and turn on to Homestead. As a result many cars, bikes etc. come speeding around the corner off of Pelham and on to Homestead, thus allowing a new driveway closer to Pelham on Homestead is an accident waiting to happen. Also an added driveway would create a huge blind spot for the 3 existing homes when they back up from their driveways onto Homestead. Again, another safety concern for car accidents and pedestrians. This entrance to the subdivision is becoming steadily busier and busier as it is one of only 2 ways to get in or out of the subdivision and now there are even more new homes being built on the end of Tanner. There also exists a median at the entrance of Homestead and Pelham which means cars, children on bikes etc can't even swerve onto the other side of the road if a car is pulling out of the proposed driveway closer to Pelham Rd.

2) The 2 new proposed lots and houses that builders are hoping to build do not maintain or fit in with the integrity of the neighbourhood. There are quaint homes with ample green space, trees, grass etc around them. The proposed lots are too close to each other and existing neighbours. These homes will destroy the small town feel that Fonthill is known for. We are losing what distinguishes Fonthill from other surrounding towns with the integrity of its landscape changing. Pelham Rd is one of the most travelled roads residents, visitors and tourists use in Fonthill.

3) This brings me to another huge concern regarding the 2 proposed houses to be built. The blueprint that was sent out to residents does not state the number of storeys the 2 proposed homes will be. I'm not sure why this has not been added as I know I have that on the blueprint of my home.

This needs to be addressed openly with residents before there can be any further discussion regarding this proposal. Residents have a right to get full disclosure on what exactly the future homes will look like before being asked to agree to any changes. I am also aware that there have been recent changes to how high a home can be built. From what I understand the new rule is allowing homes to have 3 storeys plus a roof. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

4) Flooding and water run off has been an issue for some residents as well. How will that be addressed with the addition of these proposed homes.

Town of Pelham  
re subject lands 1053 Pelham St Fonthill  
File number A15/2022P

My concern is the size of the lots requested on Pelham st.  
There is a big difference between 700 sm and 607 sm as requested.  
Also that is adding 2 new driveways onto Pelham st close to intersection of Pelham and Quaker Rd  
and there is concern re traffic safety pulling in and out of driveways off of Pelham St so close to a busy  
intersection.

Would it not be a better solution to have just one property subdivided off of 1053 Pelham St.

Also there is no information regarding the size and type of residence planned for the concerned  
property.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "C. Johnson", written in dark ink.

Cindy Johnson



October 31, 2022

Tammy and Craig Schmidt  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Willford,

RE: File Number: A15/2022P Lot 177, 1053 Pelham Street, Pelham

Our property is [REDACTED] of three lots built by [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. We would like to submit our concerns and opposition to the  
proposed plan to build TWO new homes on Lot 177 facing Pelham Street.

Water drainage, density, safety and architectural guidelines/modernization are the  
basis of our concerns and opposition.

[REDACTED] are graded to drain water to the rear of our  
property lines, to a common swale, which drains into a grate located on Part 3 of  
[REDACTED]. Proper water drainage is of concern with the new proposed  
development. How will these properties be graded and will water drainage become a  
concern for our properties?

The lot/area is already dense, with several homes backing our properties. A maximum  
of ONE new home build, as opposed to two, should be permitted. The current bylaw  
for minimum lot area and lot frontage should be adhered to.

Safety, with respect to traffic, fire access and emergency services should be considered.

In keeping with architectural guidelines and modernization, the new residential  
development should keep with the single-family detached brick bungalow, as opposed  
to a two story or townhouse, to maintain our property value.

Sincerely yours,

Tammy and Craig Schmidt

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

**From:** Patricia Mazereeuw [REDACTED]

**Date:** October 31, 2022 at 6:25:26 PM EDT

**To:** Holly Willford <[HWillford@pelham.ca](mailto:HWillford@pelham.ca)>

**Subject:** Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

I live at [REDACTED]. Provided are a list of concerns regarding the proposed changes to the lot on the corner of Homestead and Pelham Rd:

1) Safety concerns regarding the addition of one new driveway on Homestead Blv.

The proposed driveway is too close to Pelham Rd which is a main road. Cars speed through the light on Pelham and Welland Rd going north and I already have to quickly turn on to Homestead as drivers drive too fast and too close to cars in front of them in an effort to make it through the lights at Circle K. I have already had a few close calls of cars hitting me from behind because drivers are not expecting me to break and turn on to Homestead. As a result many cars, bikes etc. come speeding around the corner off of Pelham and on to Homestead, thus allowing a new driveway closer to Pelham on Homestead is an accident waiting to happen. Also an added driveway would create a huge blind spot for the 3 existing homes when they back up from their driveways onto Homestead. Again, another safety concern for car accidents and pedestrians. This entrance to the subdivision is becoming steadily busier and busier as it is one of only 2 ways to get in or out of the subdivision and now there are even more new homes being built on the end of Tanner. There also exists a median at the entrance of Homestead and Pelham which means cars, children on bikes etc can't even swerve onto the other side of the road if a car is pulling out of the proposed driveway closer to Pelham Rd.

2) The 2 new proposed lots and houses that builders are hoping to build do not maintain or fit in with the integrity of the neighbourhood. There are quaint homes with ample green space, trees, grass etc around them. The proposed lots are too close to each other and existing neighbours. These homes will destroy the small town feel that Fonthill is known for. We are losing what distinguishes Fonthill from other surrounding towns with the integrity of its landscape changing. Pelham Rd is one of the most travelled roads residents, visitors and tourists use in Fonthill.

3) This brings me to another huge concern regarding the 2 proposed houses to be built. The blueprint that was sent out to residents does not state the number of storeys the 2 proposed homes will be. I'm not sure why this has not been added as I know I have that on the blueprint of my home.

This needs to be addressed openly with residents before there can be any further discussion regarding this proposal. Residents have a right to get full disclosure on what exactly the future homes will look like before being asked to agree to any changes. I am also aware that there have been recent changes to how high a home can be built. From what I understand the new rule is allowing homes to have 3 storeys plus a roof. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

4) Flooding and water run off has been an issue for some residents as well. How will that be addressed with the addition of these proposed homes.

Town of Pelham  
re subject lands 1053 Pelham St Fonthill  
File number A15/2022P

My concern is the size of the lots requested on Pelham st.  
There is a big difference between 700 sm and 607 sm as requested.  
Also that is adding 2 new driveways onto Pelham st close to intersection of Pelham and Quaker Rd  
and there is concern re traffic safety pulling in and out of driveways off of Pelham St so close to a busy  
intersection.

Would it not be a better solution to have just one property subdivided off of 1053 Pelham St.

Also there is no information regarding the size and type of residence planned for the concerned  
property.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "C. Johnson", written in dark ink.

Cindy Johnson





October 31, 2022

Tammy and Craig Schmidt  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Willford,

RE: File Number: A15/2022P Lot 177, 1053 Pelham Street, Pelham

Our property is [REDACTED] of three lots built by [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. We would like to submit our concerns and opposition to the proposed plan to build TWO new homes on Lot 177 facing Pelham Street.

Water drainage, density, safety and architectural guidelines/modernization are the basis of our concerns and opposition.

[REDACTED] are graded to drain water to the rear of our property lines, to a common swale, which drains into a grate located on Part 3 of [REDACTED]. Proper water drainage is of concern with the new proposed development. How will these properties be graded and will water drainage become a concern for our properties?

The lot/area is already dense, with several homes backing our properties. A maximum of ONE new home build, as opposed to two, should be permitted. The current bylaw for minimum lot area and lot frontage should be adhered to.

Safety, with respect to traffic, fire access and emergency services should be considered.

In keeping with architectural guidelines and modernization, the new residential development should keep with the single-family detached brick bungalow, as opposed to a two story or townhouse, to maintain our property value.

Sincerely yours,

Tammy and Craig Schmidt

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

**From:** Patricia Mazereeuw [REDACTED]

**Date:** October 31, 2022 at 6:25:26 PM EDT

**To:** Holly Willford <[HWillford@pelham.ca](mailto:HWillford@pelham.ca)>

**Subject:** Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

I live at [REDACTED]. Provided are a list of concerns regarding the proposed changes to the lot on the corner of Homestead and Pelham Rd:

1) Safety concerns regarding the addition of one new driveway on Homestead Blv.

The proposed driveway is too close to Pelham Rd which is a main road. Cars speed through the light on Pelham and Welland Rd going north and I already have to quickly turn on to Homestead as drivers drive too fast and too close to cars in front of them in an effort to make it through the lights at Circle K. I have already had a few close calls of cars hitting me from behind because drivers are not expecting me to break and turn on to Homestead. As a result many cars, bikes etc. come speeding around the corner off of Pelham and on to Homestead, thus allowing a new driveway closer to Pelham on Homestead is an accident waiting to happen. Also an added driveway would create a huge blind spot for the 3 existing homes when they back up from their driveways onto Homestead. Again, another safety concern for car accidents and pedestrians. This entrance to the subdivision is becoming steadily busier and busier as it is one of only 2 ways to get in or out of the subdivision and now there are even more new homes being built on the end of Tanner. There also exists a median at the entrance of Homestead and Pelham which means cars, children on bikes etc can't even swerve onto the other side of the road if a car is pulling out of the proposed driveway closer to Pelham Rd.

2) The 2 new proposed lots and houses that builders are hoping to build do not maintain or fit in with the integrity of the neighbourhood. There are quaint homes with ample green space, trees, grass etc around them. The proposed lots are too close to each other and existing neighbours. These homes will destroy the small town feel that Fonthill is known for. We are losing what distinguishes Fonthill from other surrounding towns with the integrity of its landscape changing. Pelham Rd is one of the most travelled roads residents, visitors and tourists use in Fonthill.

3) This brings me to another huge concern regarding the 2 proposed houses to be built. The blueprint that was sent out to residents does not state the number of storeys the 2 proposed homes will be. I'm not sure why this has not been added as I know I have that on the blueprint of my home.

This needs to be addressed openly with residents before there can be any further discussion regarding this proposal. Residents have a right to get full disclosure on what exactly the future homes will look like before being asked to agree to any changes. I am also aware that there have been recent changes to how high a home can be built. From what I understand the new rule is allowing homes to have 3 storeys plus a roof. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

4) Flooding and water run off has been an issue for some residents as well. How will that be addressed with the addition of these proposed homes.

Town of Pelham  
re subject lands 1053 Pelham St Fonthill  
File number A15/2022P

My concern is the size of the lots requested on Pelham st.  
There is a big difference between 700 sm and 607 sm as requested.  
Also that is adding 2 new driveways onto Pelham st close to intersection of Pelham and Quaker Rd  
and there is concern re traffic safety pulling in and out of driveways off of Pelham St so close to a busy  
intersection.

Would it not be a better solution to have just one property subdivided off of 1053 Pelham St.

Also there is no information regarding the size and type of residence planned for the concerned  
property.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "C. Johnson", written in dark ink.

Cindy Johnson



October 31, 2022

Tammy and Craig Schmidt  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

Dear Ms. Willford,

RE: File Number: A15/2022P Lot 177, 1053 Pelham Street, Pelham

Our property is [REDACTED] of three lots built by [REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]. We would like to submit our concerns and opposition to the  
proposed plan to build TWO new homes on Lot 177 facing Pelham Street.

Water drainage, density, safety and architectural guidelines/modernization are the  
basis of our concerns and opposition.

[REDACTED] are graded to drain water to the rear of our  
property lines, to a common swale, which drains into a grate located on Part 3 of  
[REDACTED]. Proper water drainage is of concern with the new proposed  
development. How will these properties be graded and will water drainage become a  
concern for our properties?

The lot/area is already dense, with several homes backing our properties. A maximum  
of ONE new home build, as opposed to two, should be permitted. The current bylaw  
for minimum lot area and lot frontage should be adhered to.

Safety, with respect to traffic, fire access and emergency services should be considered.

In keeping with architectural guidelines and modernization, the new residential  
development should keep with the single-family detached brick bungalow, as opposed  
to a two story or townhouse, to maintain our property value.

Sincerely yours,

Tammy and Craig Schmidt

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

**Subject:** RE: Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

**From:** Patricia Mazereeuw [REDACTED]

**Date:** October 31, 2022 at 6:25:26 PM EDT

**To:** Holly Willford <[HWillford@pelham.ca](mailto:HWillford@pelham.ca)>

**Subject:** Concerns for changes to lot on Pelham and Homestead

I live at [REDACTED]. Provided are a list of concerns regarding the proposed changes to the lot on the corner of Homestead and Pelham Rd:

1) Safety concerns regarding the addition of one new driveway on Homestead Blv.

The proposed driveway is too close to Pelham Rd which is a main road. Cars speed through the light on Pelham and Welland Rd going north and I already have to quickly turn on to Homestead as drivers drive too fast and too close to cars in front of them in an effort to make it through the lights at Circle K. I have already had a few close calls of cars hitting me from behind because drivers are not expecting me to break and turn on to Homestead. As a result many cars, bikes etc. come speeding around the corner off of Pelham and on to Homestead, thus allowing a new driveway closer to Pelham on Homestead is an accident waiting to happen. Also an added driveway would create a huge blind spot for the 3 existing homes when they back up from their driveways onto Homestead. Again, another safety concern for car accidents and pedestrians. This entrance to the subdivision is becoming steadily busier and busier as it is one of only 2 ways to get in or out of the subdivision and now there are even more new homes being built on the end of Tanner. There also exists a median at the entrance of Homestead and Pelham which means cars, children on bikes etc can't even swerve onto the other side of the road if a car is pulling out of the proposed driveway closer to Pelham Rd.

2) The 2 new proposed lots and houses that builders are hoping to build do not maintain or fit in with the integrity of the neighbourhood. There are quaint homes with ample green space, trees, grass etc around them. The proposed lots are too close to each other and existing neighbours. These homes will destroy the small town feel that Fonthill is known for. We are losing what distinguishes Fonthill from other surrounding towns with the integrity of its landscape changing. Pelham Rd is one of the most travelled roads residents, visitors and tourists use in Fonthill.

3) This brings me to another huge concern regarding the 2 proposed houses to be built. The blueprint that was sent out to residents does not state the number of storeys the 2 proposed homes will be. I'm not sure why this has not been added as I know I have that on the blueprint of my home.

This needs to be addressed openly with residents before there can be any further discussion regarding this proposal. Residents have a right to get full disclosure on what exactly the future homes will look like before being asked to agree to any changes. I am also aware that there have been recent changes to how high a home can be built. From what I understand the new rule is allowing homes to have 3 storeys plus a roof. Please correct me if I'm wrong.

4) Flooding and water run off has been an issue for some residents as well. How will that be addressed with the addition of these proposed homes.

Town of Pelham  
re subject lands 1053 Pelham St Fonthill  
File number A15/2022P

My concern is the size of the lots requested on Pelham st.  
There is a big difference between 700 sm and 607 sm as requested.  
Also that is adding 2 new driveways onto Pelham st close to intersection of Pelham and Quaker Rd  
and there is concern re traffic safety pulling in and out of driveways off of Pelham St so close to a busy  
intersection.

Would it not be a better solution to have just one property subdivided off of 1053 Pelham St.

Also there is no information regarding the size and type of residence planned for the concerned  
property.

Sincerely

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "C. Johnson", written in dark ink.

Cindy Johnson

