

**Sarah Leach**

---

**Subject:** RE: File A1/2022P : Lot 114 On Plan 59M-403(Objection on 1.95M side yard setback)

---

**From:** Iqbal Khan [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Tuesday, December 14, 2021 5:40 PM  
**To:** Iqbal Khan [REDACTED]  
**Subject:** Lot 114 On Plan 59M-403(Objection on 1.95M side yard setback)

To ,  
Secretary Treasure Of Committee Of adjustment,

I am resident owner of [REDACTED] Philmori Blvd (Lot [REDACTED]) , adjacent to proposed future 2 story residence applying minor variance for 1.95 M (instead of 3 M)interior side yard setback on either side of house.

I would like to refer this council ,to extensive negotiations and work, which extended over several years during finalizing this subdivision , particularly prime tree line lots.

I attended many of these meetings as development came to reality .

Particular emphasis was on size of lot(usually 70f front) and permissible footprints of houses (usually 50 f front) ,to preserve escarpment habitat and crowding of houses with sufficient side and backyard setbacks.

Leaving 3M side yard setback was accepted after debates in great length , to keep escarpment view visible from road as much as possible .

Our house (Lot [REDACTED]) first ,and other houses built later, on these tree line lots followed strictly imposed setback rules.

By permitting applied side yard setback of 1.95M(instead of 3 m) on each side for Lot 114 will change symmetry and value of adjacent and other house in line and also obstruct escarpment view by reducing space between houses.

As far as rear extension of deck stairs is concerned , it is acceptable as long as deck itself leaves required backyard setback of 13.50 m backyard (otherwise it will compromise backyard privacy of both houses.

I will request above facts to be considered ,while making decision regarding this application.

Kind regards,  
Iqbal Khan  
[REDACTED] Philmori Blvd  
(Lot [REDACTED])