From: Valerie Labbe

Sent: Monday, August 17, 2020 9:30 PM

To: Nancy Bozzato < NBozzato@pelham.ca >
Cc: Curtis Thompson < CThompson@pelham.ca >
Subject: Notice of Public Hearing: File No. A6/2020P

Dear Secretary Treasurer of the Committee of Adjustment,

I received a Notice of Public Hearing with regards to File No. A6/2020P, Subject Lands 257 Chantler Road (Part of Lots 4 & 5, Conc. 12). Please accept this email as my official submission in response to the proposal, as well as my formal request to preregister for participation in the virtual meeting.

I own the neighbouring property to the West, and there is standing water for much of the year where my property intersects with the applicant's property. I would like to request that:

- The barn be a minimum of 30m from the wetland boundary and that if the boundary has not been recently reviewed (within
 the past 2 years), that the boundary be reviewed by both the NPCA & the Region, in consultation with the MNRF, during the
 appropriate season (May to October). I realize that 2 years may be unorthodox, but I feel that since the wetland is
 considered Provincially Significant, that this amount of time is more reasonable compared to the usual 5 years
- A water management plan be provided to the satisfaction of the NPCA & the Region to ensure that;
 - the barn will be properly drained so that no dirty run-off water will get into the wetland, and
 - that the clean run-off is properly distributed in quantity and quality, as well as to avoid any rills forming where they do not currently exists.
- Buffer enhancements be considered to offset the impact to the wetland and that the buffer remain wooded/bush land
- The derelict vehicles parked near the fence line that separates mine and the applicant's property be moved so that they are a
 minimum of 30m away from the wetland and/or the fence line to minimize any possible impacts to the wetland and adjacent
 land from possible fuel or oil spills from the vehicles (they are located West/Northwest of the existing 2 storey dwelling)
- It be noted that the "Sketch For Planning Purposes" which was attached to the Notice of Public Hearing has a drawing showing a "proposed" in-ground pool, but that the in-ground pool is in fact existing and not "proposed"
- Should the applicant's proposal be subsequently approved, that a silt fence, and any other appropriate sediment and erosion controls, be required prior to any construction and that these measures be inspected by the appropriate Town Representative prior to construction being allowed to begin

Thank you for allowing me the opportunity to comment on this matter.

Valerie Labbe Effingham Street