

Good Afternoon

Re: File A28/2019P and A29/2019P

I find it laughable that the town is considering this a “minor” variance to the R1 zoning of the questioned land. It contravenes the first five points of R1. Five variances of a possible nine, eight if you don’t count the exterior/interior yard because it can’t be both. It doesn’t even adhere to all the bylaws of R2 or R3 and undermines the general intent and purpose of the R1 Zoning By-law for our neighborhood.

How will the house directly to the South on Allan Cres be affected? Are they to expect a “backyard fence to be built down the side of their property to the road? If part #2’s facing is changed to Elizabeth St. such a situation would be perfectly legal. How will visibility be affected? Parking? My children walking to school or riding their bikes? What if the town ever came to its senses and started adding sidewalks to our neighborhood? Was it not in the plan to omit sidewalks due to the large lawns, open lots and long driveways of R1 and R2 Zoning in our area? Because I can’t help but notice that the areas that are zoned to accept this proposed house all include sidewalks.

There is also no mention of the size or design of the house. A few minor adjustments to the proposed building envelope would lessen the number of variances required but it seems like the party isn’t concerned with the by-laws that I was required to adhere to when adding an addition to my home two years ago.

I was thinking of building an ice rink for my kids in my backyard this year but was worried of the amount noise for my neighbors. Apparently building another house back there would be more in line with the towns “new” overall plan.

Thank you for your time regarding this matter.

Jeff Kerr

■ Highland Ave. Fonthill