

November 20, 2019



*FILE A27/2019P
PART LOT 20, CONCESSION 9
(PART 1 ON 59 R-520)*

To the Secretary-Treasurer

Concerning by-law 1136(1987) requiring a setback of 300m from a livestock or poultry barn and the request to the town for a relief to permit a dwelling within 201m of a livestock or poultry operation; it is our understanding that this by-law was instituted to negate noise and smell nuisances and complaints resulting from the operation of such farms.

There is no doubt that there is odours that arise from such operations and noises like truck traffic during late evening to early morning hours, as well as, general farm noises. We feel that if the by-law was to be amended to allow a dwelling within 201m of our farm operations that there would be constant complaints arising from living too close to a poultry barn. Even if the builders have no complaints to the nuisances that arise from normal farm operations, if and when they decide to sell their home; the new owners may differ in opinion and cause problems with complaints because of the noise and odours caused by normal operations of a poultry farm.

We also object to this by-law change because of the impact on our land value as a poultry farm and the ability for a buyer to expand operations. We have had significant interest by producing poultry farmers to buy our farm and feel it would impact our farm's value due to the possibility of a buyer not being able to expand the farm because of the infill of houses on farmland within 201m of the existing barn and the possibility of nuisance complaints.

Farmer's don't enjoy listening and dealing with complaints due to normal operations of a farm because someone wanted to move to the country and build a house on farmland.

Thank you for your attention to this matter

Henk and Helen Fennema
[REDACTED]
Canboro Rd, Fenwick ON

9