

Curtis Thompson

From: Anna Pliousnina [REDACTED]
Sent: November 26, 2019 12:41 PM
To: Curtis Thompson
Subject: Re: Objection to Minor Variance A26/2019P

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Hi Curtis. It was nice to meet you. As discussed earlier it would be a good idea to plant some evergreens, like pine and spruce trees.

Thanks Anna Pliousnina

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

On Mon., 18 Nov. 2019 at 4:18 p.m., Curtis Thompson
<CThompson@pelham.ca> wrote:

Hi Anna,

Thanks for your comments regarding the neighbouring accessory building proposal. I'd be interested in getting a perspective of your neighbour's land to the west from your backyard. Would you be interested in allowing me on your property to help understand the potential impact?

I have some time Wednesday, Friday (afternoon) or early next week?

Looking forward to hearing from you.

Best,



Curtis Thompson, B.U.R.P.I.
Planner
Community Planning &
Development

e: cthompson@pelham.ca
p: 905.892.2607 x324
pelham.ca

20 Pelham Town Square
P.O. Box 400
Fonthill, ON L0S 1E0

TOWN OF PELHAM CONFIDENTIALITY NOTICE:

The information contained in this communication, including any attachments, may be confidential and is intended only for the use of the recipient(s) named above, and may be legally privileged. If the reader of this message is not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution, disclosure, or copying of this communication, or any of its contents, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please re-send it to the sender and permanently delete the original and any copy of it from your computer system. Thank you.

Re: FILE A26/2019P
1611 C Lookout Street, Pelham
Part Lot 3, Concession 7 (Part 2 on 59R-15972)

Hello,

We object to the height and location of the proposed accessory building.

The Problem:

Because of the proposed building's proximity to the property line, matched with a sizeable elevation change (approx. 8m located between the adjoining properties of Buckley Terrace & Lookout Street), I feel that no variance in the current bylaw should be granted. We have considered the scale and massing of the proposed accessory building's location and find it will only provide a towering back drop while perched on top of the sizeable elevation change. We ask that no variance be granted and that the Planner even reconsider the location of the proposed building's proximity to the property line.

Possible Solution:

I suggest moving the proposed building much further West, at which point height and size have less impact on the visuals from down below, the negative scale and massing factors will decrease with distance and building a structure to 4.9m (to the mid-peek) should not be such a major issue.

I wish to be notified of the decision of the Committee of Adjustment in respect of this application.
I would like to request a copy of the decision when completed.

Sincerely,

Anna Pliousnina
[REDACTED] Buckley Terr.
Font Hill, ON, L0S 1E5

Nov. 15/2019

JPA